# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Fran	cisco A	nguilar-Guzman	Case Number:	17-7563MJ		
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), are established: (Check one or both, as	<u> </u>	ubmitted to the Court. I conclude		
	•		nvincing evidence the defendant is a this case.	danger to the community and re-	quire the detention of the defendant		
	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the pending trial in this case.						
			PART I F	INDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant have been a federal offense if a circur				
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
			an offense for which the maximum s	entence is life imprisonment or c	leath.		
			an offense for which a maximum ter	m of imprisonment of ten years o	or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after the described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(	e defendant had been convicted A)-(C), or comparable state or lo	of two or more prior federal offenses cal offenses.		
			any felony that involves a minor victi device (as those terms are defined in to register under 18 U.S.C. §2250.				
	(2)	18 U.S pending	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense desc g trial for a federal, state or local offer	cribed in finding 1 was committed ase.	I while the defendant was on release		
	(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not m ion)(release of the defendant from im	nore than five years has elapsed prisonment) for the offense desc	since the (date of ribed in finding 1.		
	(4)	will rea	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a reb sonably assure the safety of (an)othe utted this presumption.	outtable presumption that no cond r person(s) and the community.	dition or combination of conditions I further find that the defendant has		
			Altern	ative Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
			for which a maximum term of imprise	onment of ten years or more is p	rescribed in1		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or	· 2332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which prescribed.	ch a maximum term of imprisonm	nent of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victim u	under section	2 		
	(2)	The de condition	fendant has not rebutted the presumpons will reasonably assure the appear	otion established by finding 1 that rance of the defendant as require	t no condition or combination of ed and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$ 

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably				
	calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
⊠ □					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant was on supervised release in CR-17-516, while charged with the instant offense. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 20<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2017

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge